Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP62B00844R000200110051-5

COR 0238 Copy/ of 6

25 November 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: Special Assistant to the Director

for Planning and Development

SUBJECT:

Trip Report - Program Review Conference

WS 117-L and CORONA

- 1. Conferences concerning the above-mentioned programs were held at Palo Alto on the 19th and 20th of November respectively. The attached program lists the main topics covered at the WS 117-L conference. The CORONA conference covered topics peculiar to the CORONA area of interest.
- 2. Generally, both programs appear to be moving along in good shape. No changes in scheduling or programming were indicated; tests, deliveries, etc., were stated to be on time. Management of the varied aspects of the programs appeared to be well in hand; Lockheed appeared to be cognizant of all of the major problem areas and had started the necessary corrective action where needed.
 - 3. Possible future problem areas:
 - a. The complexity of the WS 117-L sensing system and the feasibility of obtaining the desired results.
 - b. The tight delivery schedule that ITEK is committed to for delivery of the camera to the CORONA project.
 - c. Radiation: This is more of a problem with WS 117-L (Atlas phases) than CORONA due to the higher altitudes. Cognizance of the problem or corrective action was not covered in the WS 117-L program. Corrective action for protection against radiation in the CORONA project was covered but did not appear to be based on known facts or information. Evaluation of the information obtained on the Explorer flights by Iowa University concerning the problem of radiation indicate that at the WS 117-L altitude; radiation is such to completely fog the film. It is recommended that any corrective or preventive action for the CORONA program should be based on the Iowa University findings. Action to be taken by the Contractor based on instructions from the Director of DAP.

This document contains information raterring to Project GORONA.

- d. Recovery: This problem is peculiar to both programs.
- (1) The sea marker proved to be unsatisfactory. No solution in sight.
- (2) The Rescuelite did not meet specifications, due to low light output. Corrective action underway.

(3) Air recovery:

- (a) In tests using 74 personnel type chutes, fortynine were recovered. Using one type of operational drop
 chute; out of fifteen dropped, four were recovered and
 required an average of 1.5 aircraft passes for the snatch
 or hook-up. Eleven drops of another type of operational
 chute resulted in five being recovered and an average of
 two aircraft passes for the snatch.
- (b) One of the problems involve the drop rate of the chutes; approximately 33 feet per second. Development of a satisfactory chute with a drop rate of approximately 20 feet per second is required. This will allow the aircraft to make three to four passes for hook-up. Although it was indicated that corrective action was being taken, it is felt that it will not be timely enough for the reasons indicated in the following sub-paragraph.
- (c) The low number of successful air snatches is considered to be the result of the combination of weak chutes, high sink rate, and crew proficiency. Although the contractor indicated that corrective action is being taken in all cases, this matter will be given special attention to insure that an acceptable operational readiness exists by the time of the first CORONA launching. As added emphasis, you might desire to make mention of our concern in this matter in an early conversation with

4. There appeared to be a moderate amount of friction between ITEK and Eastman. The major problem area involved the ability of Eastman to retain the maximum system resolution through their processing techniques and equipment. Project personnel directly concerned with this area of interest are satisfied that system resolution will be retained through processing and if there is a degradation, the Kodak techniques and equipments representing the latest state of the art will obtain the best result possible.

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP62B00844R000200110051-5

TOP SECRET

	It is recommended that representative visit both organizations involuded pletely inform themselves of all the details volved. It is felt that the exchange of information would assist in getting these people together problem is adequately provided for.	and/or controversies in- ermation that would take place	25X1
	5. Overall recommendation: That Project representative at future meetings include a photo equipment representative, a communications and/or electronics man, in addition to and the Operations representative. This recommendation is not based on reasons covered in this memo, but is based on discussions with contractor personnel concerning present and future activities.		25X1
			25X 1
	Att: Agenda	Director of Operations	
25X1	1 - Addressee		
25X1	2 - Dep Dir, DPS 3 - Dir D&P 4 - September 1 - Ops subj 6 - COR chron		